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## Foundation for Deductive and Formal Proofs

- Reason: We want to understand how proofs can be mechanized.
- Topics:
- Concepts of Sequent and Inference Rule.
- Backward and Forward reasoning
- Basic Inference Rules.


## Sequent

- Sequent is the generic name for "something we want to prove"
- We shall be more precise later


## Inference Rule

- An inference rule is a tool to perform a formal proof
- It is denoted by:

- $A$ is a (possibly empty) collection of sequents: the antecedents
- $C$ is a sequent: the consequent

The proofs of each sequent of $A$
—— together give you
a proof of sequent $C$

## Backward and Forward Reasoning

Given an inference rule $\frac{A}{C}$ with antecedents $A$ and consequent $C$

- Forward reasoning: $\frac{A}{C} \downarrow$

Proofs of each sequent in $A$ give you a proof of the consequent $C$

- Backward reasoning: $\frac{A}{C} \uparrow$

In order to get a proof of $C$, it is sufficient to have proofs of each sequent in $A$

Proofs are usually done using backward reasoning

## "Executing" the Proof of a Sequent $S$ (backward reasoning)

We are given:

- a collection $\mathcal{T}$ of inference rules of the form $\frac{A}{C}$
- a sequent container $K$, containing $S$ initially
while $K$ is not empty
choose a rule $\frac{A}{C}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ whose consequent $C$ is in $K$;
replace $C$ in $K$ by the antecedents $A$ (if any)

This proof method is said to be goal oriented.
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## Proof of S1

## $r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad r 4{ }_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}$ <br> S1 <br> ?

- The proof is a tree
- We have shown here a depth-first strategy
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## Proof of $S 1$

$$
r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}
$$



- The proof is a tree
- We have shown here a depth-first strategy
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## Proof of $S 1$

$$
r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}
$$

S1
r3
S2 S3 S4

- The proof is a tree
- We have shown here a depth-first strategy
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## Proof of $S 1$

## $r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}$

S1
r3
S2 S3 S4
r1 r5 ?

S5
S6
?
?

- The proof is a tree
- We have shown here a depth-first strategy
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## Proof of $S 1$

## $r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}$

S1
r3

| $S 2$ | $S 3$ | $S 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| r 1 | r 5 | $?$ |

S5 S6
r4 ?

- The proof is a tree
- We have shown here a depth-first strategy
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## Proof of $S 1$

## $r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}$

S1
r3

| $S 2$ | $S 3$ | $S 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| r 1 | r 5 | $?$ |

S5 S6
r4 r6

- The proof is a tree
- We have shown here a depth-first strategy
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## Proof of $S 1$

$$
r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}
$$

| $S 1$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\swarrow \downarrow$ |
| S2 | S3 |
| r1 | r5 |
|  | $\downarrow \downarrow$ |
| S5 | S6 |
| r4 | r6 |

- The proof is a tree
- M/e have shomen here a depth-first strategy
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## Proof of $S 1$

$$
r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}
$$



- The proof is a tree
- M/e have shomen here a depth-first strategy


## Proof of $S 1$

$$
r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}
$$

| S1r3 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | $\downarrow \downarrow$ |
| S2 | S3 |
| r1 | r5 |
|  | $\swarrow \downarrow$ |
| S5 | S6 |
| r4 | r6 |

- The proof is a tree
- We have shown here a depth-first strategy


## Alternate Representation of the Proof Tree

A vertical representation of the proof tree:

|  | $S 1$ |  |  | $S 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | r 3 |  | r 3 |  |
|  | $\swarrow \downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | r 1 |  |
| $S 2$ | $S 3$ | $S 4$ | $S 3$ | r 5 |
| r 1 | r 5 | r 2 | $S 5$ | r 4 |
|  | $\swarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $S 6$ |
| r 6 |  |  |  |  |
| $S 5$ | S 6 | $S 7$ | $S 4$ | r 2 |
| r 4 | r 6 | r 7 | $S 7$ | r 7 |
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## Proof of S1

$$
r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad \mathrm{r} 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad \mathrm{r} 3 \frac{S 2 S 3}{S 1} \quad \mathrm{r} 44_{\overline{S 5}} \quad \mathrm{r} 5 \frac{S 5 S 6}{S 3} \quad \mathrm{r} 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad \mathrm{r} 7_{\overline{S 7}}
$$

## S1 <br> ?
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## Proof of $S 1$

$$
r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2 S 3 S 4}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5 \frac{S 5}{S 3} \quad r 6_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}
$$

S1
r3
S2 ?
S3
?

S4
?
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## Proof of $S 1$

S1
r3
r1
S3
?

S4
?
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## Proof of $S 1$

| $S 1$ |  |  | r 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $S 2$ |  | r 1 |
|  | $S 3$ |  | r 5 |
|  |  | $S 5$ | $?$ |
|  |  | $S 6$ | $?$ |
|  |  | $S 4$ |  |
|  |  | $?$ |  |
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## Proof of $S 1$

S1
r3
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S2 } & \mathbf{r} 1 \\ \text { S3 } & \mathbf{r} 5\end{array}$

|  | S5 | r4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S4 |  |  |
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## Proof of $S 1$

| $S 1$ |  |  | r 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | S2 |  | r 1 |
|  | S3 |  | r 5 |
|  |  | $S 5$ | r 4 |
|  |  | S6 | r 6 |
|  |  | S4 |  |
|  |  | $?$ |  |
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## Proof of $S 1$

$$
r 1_{\overline{S 2}} \quad r 2 \frac{S 7}{S 4} \quad r 3 \frac{S 2^{S 3} S 4}{S 1} \quad r 4_{\overline{S 5}} \quad r 5^{S 5} \frac{S 6}{S 3} \quad r 66_{\overline{S 6}} \quad r 7_{\overline{S 7}}
$$

S1
r3
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { S2 } & \mathbf{r} 1 \\ \text { S3 } & \mathbf{r} 5\end{array}$
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## Proof of $S 1$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{$S 2$

$S 3$}} <br>
\hline \& <br>
\hline \& <br>
\hline \& S5 <br>
\hline \& S6 <br>
\hline S4 \& 4 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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## More on Sequent

- We supposedly have a Predicate Language (not defined yet)
- A sequent is denoted by:

$$
H \vdash G
$$

- H is a (possibly empty) collection of predicates: the hypotheses
- $G$ is a predicate: the goal


## Meaning ...

## Under the hypotheses of collection H , prove the goal G

Concept of Sequent and Inference Rule Backward and Forward Reasoning Basic Inference Rules

## Basic Inference Rules of Mathematical Reasoning

- HYPOTHESIS: If the goal belongs to the hypotheses of a sequent, then the sequent is proved,
- MONOTONICITY: Once a sequent is proved, any sequent with the same goal and more hypotheses is also proved,
- CUT: If you succeed in proving $P$ under $H$, then $P$ can be added to the collection H for proving a goal $G$
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## Basic Inference Rules of Mathematical Reasoning

- HYPOTHESIS: If the goal belongs to the hypotheses of a sequent, then the sequent is proved,
- MONOTONICITY: Once a sequent is proved, any sequent with the same goal and more hypotheses is also proved,
- CUT: If you succeed in proving $P$ under H , then $P$ can be added to the collection H for proving a goal $G$
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## Basic Inference Rules of Mathematical Reasoning

- HYPOTHESIS: If the goal belongs to the hypotheses of a sequent, then the sequent is proved,
- MONOTONICITY: Once a sequent is proved, any sequent with the same goal and more hypotheses is also proved,
- CUT: If you succeed in proving $P$ under H , then $P$ can be added to the collection H for proving a goal $G$.
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## Basic Inference Rules



HYP


MON


## $H \vdash Q$

## CUT

## Basic Constructs of Propositional Calculus

Given predicates $P$ and $Q$, we can construct:

- CONJUNCTION: $P \wedge Q$
- IMPLICATION: $\quad P \Rightarrow Q$
- NEGATION: $\neg P$


## Syntax

## Predicate $::=$ Predicate $\wedge$ Predicate Predicate $\Rightarrow$ Predicate $\neg$ Predicate

- This syntax is ambiguous.


## More on Syntax

- Pairs of matching parentheses can be added freely.
- Operator $\wedge$ is associative.
- Operator $\Rightarrow$ is not associative: $P \Rightarrow Q \Rightarrow R$ is not allowed.
- Write explicitly $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R$ or $P \Rightarrow(Q \Rightarrow R)$.
- Operators have precedence in this decreasing order: $\neg, \wedge, \Rightarrow$.


## Extensions: Truth, Falsity, Disjunction and Equivalence

- TRUTH: T
- FALSITY: $\perp$
- DISJUNCTION: $\quad P \vee Q$
- EQUIVALENCE: $P \Leftrightarrow Q$
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## Syntax

## Predicate $::=$ Predicate $\wedge$ Predicate Predicate $\Rightarrow$ Predicate $\neg$ Predicate <br>  T <br> Predicate $\vee$ Predicate Predicate $\Leftrightarrow$ Predicate

## More on Syntax

- Pairs of matching parentheses can be added freely.
- Operators $\wedge$ and $\vee$ are associative.
- Operator $\Rightarrow$ and $\Leftrightarrow$ are not associative.
- Precedence decreasing order: $\neg, \wedge$ and $\vee, \Rightarrow$ and $\Leftrightarrow$.


## More on Syntax (cont'd)

- The mixing of $\wedge$ and $\vee$ without parentheses is not allowed.
- You have to write either $\quad P \wedge(Q \vee R)$ or $\quad(P \wedge Q) \vee R$
- The mixing of $\Rightarrow$ and $\Leftrightarrow$ without parentheses is not allowed.
- You have to write either $P \Rightarrow(Q \Leftrightarrow R) \quad$ or $\quad(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow R$


## Propositional Calculus Rules of Inference (1)

- Rules about conjunction

$$
\frac{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \vdash \mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q} \vdash \mathbf{R}} A \mathrm{SD}_{-} \mathrm{L}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathbf{H} \vdash \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{H} \vdash \mathbf{Q}}{\mathbf{H} \vdash \mathbf{P} \wedge \mathbf{Q}} \quad \text { AND_R }
$$

- Rules about implication

$$
\frac{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \vdash \mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \vdash \mathbf{R}} \quad \text { IMP_L }
$$

$$
\xlongequal[\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P} \vdash \mathbf{Q}]{\mathbf{H} \vdash \mathbf{P} \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}} \quad \text { IMP_R }
$$

## Note

Rules with a double horizontal line can be applied in both directions.

## Propositional Calculus Rules of Inference (2)

- Rules about disjunction


$$
\frac{\mathbf{H}, \neg P \vdash \mathbf{Q}}{\mathbf{H} \vdash \mathbf{P} \vee \mathbf{Q}}
$$
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## Propositional Calculus Rules of Inference (3)

- Rules about negation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{H}, \neg \mathbf{Q} \vdash \mathbf{P} \\
& \hline \mathbf{H}, \neg \mathbf{P} \vdash \mathbf{Q}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { NOT_L }
$$

$$
\frac{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P} \vdash \perp}{\mathbf{H} \vdash \neg \mathbf{P}} \quad \text { NOT_R }
$$



$$
\mathbf{H} \vdash \mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{H} \vdash \neg \mathbf{P}
$$

$$
\mathbf{H} \vdash \perp
$$

FALSE_R

## Propositional Calculus Rules of Inference (4)

- Deriving rules:



## Propositional Calculus Rules of Inference (4)

- Rewriting rules:

| Predicate | Rewritten |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $\neg \perp$ |
| $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ | $(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge(Q \Rightarrow P)$ |

- More derived rules:



## CLASSICAL RESULTS (1)

| commutativity | $\begin{array}{lll} P \vee Q & \Leftrightarrow & Q \vee P \\ P \wedge Q & \Leftrightarrow & Q \wedge P \\ (P \Leftrightarrow Q) & \Leftrightarrow & (Q \Leftrightarrow P) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| associativity | $\begin{array}{lll} (P \vee Q) \vee R & \Leftrightarrow & P \vee(Q \vee R) \\ (P \wedge Q) \wedge R & \Leftrightarrow & P \wedge(Q \wedge R) \\ ((P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow R) & \Leftrightarrow & (P \Leftrightarrow(Q \Leftrightarrow R)) \end{array}$ |
| distributivity | $\begin{array}{lll} R \wedge(P \vee Q) & \Leftrightarrow & (R \wedge P) \vee(R \wedge Q) \\ R \vee(P \wedge Q) & \Leftrightarrow & (R \vee P) \wedge(R \vee Q) \\ R \Rightarrow(P \wedge Q) & \Leftrightarrow & (R \Rightarrow P) \wedge(R \Rightarrow Q) \\ (P \vee Q) \Rightarrow R & \Leftrightarrow & (P \Rightarrow R) \wedge(Q \Rightarrow R) \end{array}$ |

## CLASSICAL RESULTS (2)

| excluded middle | $P \vee \neg P$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| idempotence | $P \vee P \Leftrightarrow P$ <br> $P \wedge P \Leftrightarrow P$ |
| absorbtion | $(P \vee Q) \wedge P \Leftrightarrow P$ <br> $(P \wedge Q) \vee P \Leftrightarrow P$ |
| truth | $(P \Leftrightarrow \top) \Leftrightarrow P$ |

## CLASSICAL RESULTS (3)

| de Morgan | $\begin{aligned} & \neg(P \vee Q) \\ & \neg(P \wedge Q) \\ & \neg(P \wedge Q) \\ & \neg(P \Rightarrow Q) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \\ & (\neg P \vee \neg Q) \\ & (P \Rightarrow \neg Q) \\ & (P \wedge \neg Q) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contraposition | $\begin{aligned} & (P \Rightarrow Q) \\ & (\neg P \Rightarrow Q \\ & (P \Rightarrow \neg Q \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P) \\ & (\neg Q \Rightarrow P) \\ & (Q \Rightarrow \neg P) \end{aligned}$ |
| double negation | $P \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg P$ |  |

## CLASSICAL RESULTS (4)

| transitivity | $(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge(Q \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow(P \Rightarrow R)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| monotonicity | $\begin{aligned} & (P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow((P \wedge R) \Rightarrow(Q \wedge R)) \\ & (P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow((P \vee R) \Rightarrow(Q \vee R)) \\ & (P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow((R \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow(R \Rightarrow Q)) \\ & (P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow((Q \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow(P \Rightarrow R)) \\ & (P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow(\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P) \end{aligned}$ |
| equivalence | $\begin{aligned} & (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Rightarrow((P \wedge R) \Leftrightarrow(Q \wedge R)) \\ & (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Rightarrow((P \vee R) \Leftrightarrow(Q \vee R)) \\ & (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Rightarrow((R \Rightarrow P) \Leftrightarrow(R \Rightarrow Q)) \\ & (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Rightarrow((P \Rightarrow R) \Leftrightarrow(Q \Rightarrow R)) \\ & (P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Rightarrow(\neg P \Leftrightarrow \neg Q) \end{aligned}$ |

## Syntax of our Predicate Language so far

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { predicate }::= & \perp \\
& \lceil \\
& \neg \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \wedge \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \vee \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \Rightarrow \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \Leftrightarrow \text { predicate }
\end{aligned}
$$

- The letter $P, Q$, etc. we have used are generic variables.
- Each of them stands for a predicate.
- All our proofs were thus also generic (able to be instantiated).


## Refining our Language: Predicate Calculus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { predicate }::=\frac{\perp}{\top} \\
& \neg \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \wedge \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \vee \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \Rightarrow \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \Leftrightarrow \text { predicate } \\
& \forall \text { var list • predicate } \\
& \text { [var_list :=exp_list] predicate } \\
& \text { expression }::=\text { variable } \\
& \text { [var_list := exp_list] expression } \\
& \text { expression } \mapsto \text { expression } \\
& \text { variable }::=\text { identifier }
\end{aligned}
$$

## On Predicates and Expressions

- A Predicate is a formal text that can be PROVED
- An Expression DENOTES AN OBJECT.
- A Predicate denotes NOTHING.
- An Expression CANNOT BE PROVED
- Predicates and Expressions are INCOMPATIBLE.


## Predicate Calculus: Linguistic Concepts.

- Substitution and Universal Quantification.
- Free/Bound Occurrences.
- Inference rules.
- Extension


## VARIABLES, PROPOSITIONS AND PREDICATES

- A Proposition: $8 \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow 8 \geq 0$
- A Predicate ( $n$ is a variable): $n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow n \geq 0$


## WHAT CAN WE DO WITH A PREDICATE ?

- Specialize it: Substitution

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[n:=8](n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow n \geq 0)} \\
\downarrow \\
8 \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow 8 \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

- Generalize it: Universal Quantification

$$
\forall n \cdot(n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow n \geq 0)
$$

## SUBSTITUTION

## Simple Substitution

$$
[x:=E] P
$$

- $x$ is a VARIABLE,
- $E$ is an EXPRESSION,
- $P$ is a PREDICATE,
- Denotes the predicate obtained by replacing all FREE OCCURRENCES of $x$ by $E$ in $P$.



## UNIVERSAL QUANTIFICATION

## Universal Quantification

$$
\forall x \cdot P
$$

- $x$ is said to be the QUANTIFIED VARIABLE
- $P$ forms the SCOPE of $x$
- To say that such a predicate is proved, is the same as saying that all predicates of the following form are proved:

$$
[x:=E] P
$$
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## Free and Bound Occurrences

- Occurrences of the variable $n$ are FREE (substitutable) in:

$$
n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow n \geq 0
$$

- Occurrences of the variable $n$ are BOUND (not substitutable) in:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[n:=8](n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow n \geq 0)} \\
\forall n \cdot(n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow n \geq 0)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Inference Rules for Predicate Calculus

$$
\frac{\mathrm{H}, \forall x \cdot P,[x:=E] P \vdash Q}{\mathrm{H}, \forall x \cdot P \vdash Q}
$$

## ALL_L

where $\mathbf{E}$ is an expression

$$
\frac{\mathbf{H} \vdash \mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{H} \vdash \forall \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}} \quad \text { ALL_R }^{\mathbf{R}}
$$

- In rule $A L L \_R$, variable $x$ is not free in $H$


## Extending the language: Existential Quantification

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { predicate }::=\frac{\perp}{\top} \\
& \neg \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \wedge \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \vee \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \Rightarrow \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \Leftrightarrow \text { predicate } \\
& \forall \text { var_list } \cdot \text { predicate } \\
& \exists \text { var_list } \text { predicate } \\
& \text { [var_list }:=\text { exp_list] predicate } \\
& \text { expression }::=\text { variable } \\
& \text { [var_list :=exp_list] expression } \\
& \text { expression } \mapsto \text { expression } \\
& \text { variable }::=\text { identifier }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rules of Inference for Existential Quantification

$$
\frac{\mathrm{H}, P \vdash Q}{\mathrm{H}, \exists x \cdot P \vdash Q} \quad \text { XST_L }
$$

- In rule XST_L, variable $\mathbf{x}$ is not free in $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$

$$
\mathrm{H} \vdash[x:=E] P
$$

$$
\mathrm{H} \vdash \exists x \cdot P
$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is an expression

## Comparing the Quantification Rules

$$
\frac{\mathrm{H}, \forall x \cdot P,[x:=E] P \vdash Q}{\mathrm{H}, \forall x \cdot P \vdash Q} \quad \text { ALL_L }_{-}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{H} \vdash[x:=E] P}{\mathrm{H} \vdash \exists x \cdot P} \quad \text { XST_R }
$$

$$
\frac{\mathbf{H} \vdash \mathrm{P}}{\mathbf{H} \vdash \forall \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{P}} \quad \mathbf{A L L}_{-} \mathrm{R}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{H}, P \vdash Q}{\mathrm{H}, \exists x \cdot P \vdash Q} \quad \text { XST_L }_{-}
$$

## CLASSICAL RESULTS (1)

| commutativity | $\begin{aligned} & \forall x \cdot \forall y \cdot P \Leftrightarrow \forall y \cdot \forall x \cdot P \\ & \exists x \cdot \exists y \cdot P \Leftrightarrow \exists y \cdot \exists x \cdot P \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| distributivity | $\begin{aligned} & \forall x \cdot(P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow \forall x \cdot P \wedge \forall x \cdot Q \\ & \exists x \cdot(P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \cdot P \vee \exists x \cdot Q \end{aligned}$ |
| associativity | if $x$ not free in $P$ |

## CLASSICAL RESULTS (2)

|  |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| de Morgan laws | $\neg \forall x \cdot P \Leftrightarrow \exists x \cdot \neg P$ |
|  | $\neg \exists x \cdot P \Leftrightarrow \forall x \cdot \neg P$ |
|  | $\neg \forall x \cdot(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \cdot(P \wedge \neg Q)$ |
|  | $\neg \exists x \cdot(P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow \forall x \cdot(P \Rightarrow \neg Q)$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| monotonicity | $\forall x \cdot(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow(\forall x \cdot P \Rightarrow \forall x \cdot Q)$ |
|  | $\forall x \cdot(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow(\exists x \cdot P \Rightarrow \exists x \cdot Q)$ |
| equivalence | $\forall x \cdot(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Rightarrow(\forall x \cdot P \Leftrightarrow \forall x \cdot Q)$ |
|  | $\forall x \cdot(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Rightarrow(\exists x \cdot P \Leftrightarrow \exists x \cdot Q)$ |
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## Summary of Logical Operators

| $P \wedge Q$ | $\neg P$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $P \vee Q$ | $\forall x \cdot P$ |
| $P \Rightarrow Q$ | $\exists x \cdot P$ |

## Refining our Language: Equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { predicate }::= & \perp \\
& \\
& \\
& \neg \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \wedge \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \vee \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \Rightarrow \text { predicate } \\
& \\
& \text { predicate } \Leftrightarrow \text { predicate } \\
& \forall \text { variable } \cdot \text { predicate } \\
& \exists \text { variable } \cdot \text { predicate } \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \text { expriable }:=\text { expression }=\text { expression }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equality Rules of Inference

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[x:=F] H, E=F} & \vdash[x:=F] P & \\
\hline[x:=E] H, E=F & \vdash & {[x:=E] P}
\end{array} \quad \text { EQ_LR }
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[x:=E] \mathrm{H}, E=F} & \vdash[x:=E] P \\
\hline[x:=F] \mathrm{H}, E=F & \vdash[x:=F] P & \text { EQ_RL }
\end{array}
$$

- Rewriting rules:

| Operator | Predicate | Rewritten |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equality | $E=E$ | $T$ |
| Equality of pairs | $E \mapsto F=G \mapsto H$ | $E=G \wedge F=H$ |

## Classical Results for Equality

| symmetry | $E=F \Leftrightarrow F=E$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| transitivity | $E=F \wedge F=G \Rightarrow E=G$ |
|  |  <br> One-point rules |
| $\forall x \cdot(x=E \Rightarrow P) \Leftrightarrow[x:=E] P$ |  |
|  | $\exists x \cdot(x=E \wedge P) \Leftrightarrow[x:=E] P$ |

## Refining our Language: Set Theory (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { predicate }::= & \perp \\
& \neg \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \wedge \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \vee \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \Rightarrow \text { predicate } \\
& \text { predicate } \Leftrightarrow \text { predicate } \\
& \forall \text { var_list } \cdot \text { predicate } \\
& \exists \text { var_list } \cdot \text { predicate } \\
& {[\text { var_list }:=\text { exp_list }] \text { predicate } } \\
& \text { expression }=\text { expression } \\
& \text { expression } \in \text { set }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Refining our Language: Set Theory (2)

```
expression \(::=\) variable
    [var_list \(:=\) exp_list] expression
    expression \(\mapsto\) expression
    set
variable \(::=\) identifier
set \(\quad::=\) set \(\times\) set
    \(\mathbb{P}(\) set \()\)
    \{var_list • predicate | expression \}
```

- When expression is the same as var _list, the last construct can be written \{ var_list|predicate \}


## Set Theory

© Basis

- Basic operators
(3) Extensions
- Elementary operators
- Generalization of elementary operators
- Binary relation operators
- Function operators


## Set Theory: Membership

- Set theory deals with a new predicate: the membership predicate

$$
E \in S
$$

where $E$ is an expression and $S$ is a set
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## Set Theory: Basic Constructs

There are three basic constructs in set theory:

| Cartesian product | $S \times T$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Power set | $\mathbb{P}(S)$ |
| Comprehension 1 | $\{x \cdot P \mid F\}$ |
| Comprehension 2 | $\{x \mid P\}$ |

where $S$ and $T$ are sets, $x$ is a variable and $P$ is a predicate.

## Cartesian Product
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## Power Set



## Set Comprehension



## Basic Set Operator Memberships (Axioms)

These axioms are defined by equivalences.

| Left Part | Right Part |
| :---: | :---: |
| $E \mapsto F \in S \times T$ | $E \in S \wedge F \in T$ |
| $S \in \mathbb{P}(T)$ | $\forall x \cdot(x \in S \Rightarrow x \in T)$ <br> (x is not free in S and T$)$ |
| $E \in\{x \cdot P \mid F\}$ | $\exists x \cdot P \wedge E=F$ <br> $(\mathrm{x}$ is not free in E$)$ |
| $E \in\{x \mid P\}$ | $[x:=E] P$ <br> $(\mathrm{x}$ is not free in E$)$ |

## Set Inclusion and Extensionality Axiom

| Left Part | Right Part |
| :---: | :---: |
| $S \subseteq T$ | $S \in \mathbb{P}(T)$ |
| $S=T$ | $S \subseteq T \wedge T \subseteq S$ |

The first rule is just a syntactic extension
The second rule is the Extensionality Axiom

## Elementary Set Operators

| Union | $S \cup T$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection | $S \cap T$ |
| Difference | $S \backslash T$ |
| Extension | $\{a, \ldots, b\}$ |
| Empty set | $\varnothing$ |

## Union, Difference, Intersection



## Elementary Set Operator Memberships

| $E \in S \cup T$ | $E \in S \vee E \in T$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $E \in S \cap T$ | $E \in S \wedge E \in T$ |
| $E \in S \backslash T$ | $E \in S \wedge E \notin T$ |
| $E \in\{a, \ldots, b\}$ | $E=a \vee \ldots \vee E=b$ |
| $E \in \varnothing$ | $\perp$ |

## Summary of Basic and Elementary Operators

| $S \times T$ | $S \cup T$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbb{P}(S)$ | $S \cap T$ |
| $\{\times \cdot P \mid F\}$ | $S \backslash T$ |
| $S \subseteq T$ | $\{a, \ldots, b\}$ |
| $S=T$ | $\varnothing$ |

## Generalizations of Elementary Operators

| Generalized Union | union $(S)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Union Quantifier | $\cup x \cdot(P \mid T)$ |
| Generalized Intersection | inter $(S)$ |
| Intersection Quantifier | $\cap x \cdot(P \mid T)$ |

## Generalized Union



## Generalized Intersection



## Generalizations of Elementary Operator Memberships

| $E \in$ union $(S)$ | $\exists s \cdot s \in S \wedge E \in s$ <br> $(\mathrm{~s}$ is not free in S and E$)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $E \in(\bigcup x \cdot P \mid T)$ | $\exists x \cdot P \wedge E \in T$ <br> (x is not free in E$)$ |
| $E \in \operatorname{inter}(S)$ | $\forall s \cdot s \in S \Rightarrow E \in s$ <br> (s is not free in S and E$)$ |
| $E \in(\bigcap x \cdot P \mid T)$ | $\forall x \cdot P \Rightarrow E \in T$ <br> $(\mathrm{x}$ is not free in E$)$ |

Well-definedness condition for case 3: $S \neq \varnothing$
Well-definedness condition for case 4: $\exists x \cdot P$

## Summary of Generalizations of Elementary Operators

| union $(S)$ |
| :--- |
| $U x \cdot P \mid T$ |
| $\operatorname{inter}(S)$ |
| $\cap x \cdot P \mid T$ |

## Binary Relation Operators (1)

| Binary relations | $S \leftrightarrow T$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Domain | $\operatorname{dom}(r)$ |
| Range | $\operatorname{ran}(r)$ |
| Converse | $r^{-1}$ |

Foundation for Deductive and Formal Proofs

## A Binary Relation $r$ from a Set A to a Set B
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## Domain of Binary Relation $r$



$$
\operatorname{dom}(r)=\{a 1, a 3, a 5, a 7\}
$$
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## Range of Binary Relation $r$



$$
\operatorname{ran}(r)=\{b 1, b 2, b 4, b 6\}
$$
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## Converse of Binary Relation r

 swiss Fedideral Inatitate of Tohnolagiy Zuith

## Binary Relation Operator Memberships (1)

| Left Part | Right Part |
| :---: | :---: |
| $r \in S \leftrightarrow T$ | $r \subseteq S \times T$ |
| $E \in \operatorname{dom}(r)$ | $\exists y \cdot E \mapsto y \in r$ <br> $(y$ is not free in E and r$)$ |
| $F \in \operatorname{ran}(r)$ | $\exists x \cdot x \mapsto F \in r$ <br> $(\mathrm{x}$ is not free in F and r$)$ |
| $E \mapsto F \in r^{-1}$ | $F \mapsto E \in r$ |

## Binary Relation Operators (2)

| Partial surjective binary relations | $S \leftrightarrow T$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total binary relations | $S \leftrightarrow T$ |
| Total surjective binary relations | $S \leftrightarrow T$ |

## A Partial Surjective Relation



## A Total Relation



## A Total Surjective Relation



## Binary Relation Operator Memberships (2)

| Left Part | Right Part |
| :---: | :---: |
| $r \in S \leftrightarrow T$ | $r \in S \leftrightarrow T \wedge \operatorname{ran}(r)=T$ |
| $r \in S \leftrightarrow T$ | $r \in S \leftrightarrow T \wedge \operatorname{dom}(r)=S$ |
| $r \in S \leftrightarrow T$ | $r \in S \leftrightarrow T \wedge r \in S \leftrightarrow T$ |

## Binary Relation Operators (3)

| Domain restriction | $S \triangleleft r$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Range restriction | $r \triangleright T$ |
| Domain subtraction | $S \nleftarrow r$ |
| Range subtraction | $r \triangleright T$ |

## The Domain Restriction Operator
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## The Range Restriction Operator



$$
F \triangleright\{b 2, b 4\}
$$
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## The Domain Substraction Operator


$\{a 3, a 7\} \notin F$
dgenouss Iche Technische Hachschule ZUrich Swiss Federal Insititate of Tehnology Zuikh
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## The Range Substraction Operator
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## Binary Relation Operator Memberships (3)

| Left Part | Right Part |
| :---: | :---: |
| $E \mapsto F \in S \triangleleft r$ | $E \in S \wedge E \mapsto F \in r$ |
| $E \mapsto F \in r \triangleright T$ | $E \mapsto F \in r \wedge F \in T$ |
| $E \mapsto F \in S \notin r$ | $E \notin S \wedge E \mapsto F \in r$ |
| $E \mapsto F \in r \triangleright T$ | $E \mapsto F \in r \wedge F \notin T$ |

## Binary Relation Operators (4)

| Image | $r[w]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Composition | $p ; q$ |
| Overriding | $p \nleftarrow q$ |
| Identity | id $(S)$ |

Foundation for Deductive and Formal Proofs

## Image of $\{a 5, a 7\}$ under $r$



## Forward Composition
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## The Overriding Operator
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## The Overriding Operator



## Special Case
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Extensions

## Special Case
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## The Identity Relation



## Binary Relation Operator Memberships (4)

| $F \in r[w]$ | $\exists x \cdot x \in w \wedge \wedge$ <br> (x is not free in $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{r}$ and w) |
| :--- | :---: |
| $E \mapsto F \in(p ; q)$ | $\exists x \cdot E \mapsto x \in p \wedge \quad x \mapsto F \in q$ <br> $(\mathrm{x}$ is not free in $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{p}$ and q$)$ |
| $E \mapsto F \in p \nrightarrow q$ | $E \mapsto F \in(\operatorname{dom}(q) \triangleleft p) \cup q$ |
| $E \mapsto F \in \operatorname{id}(S)$ | $E \in S \wedge F=E$ |

## Binary Relation Operators (5)

| Direct Product | $p \otimes q$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| First Projection | $p j_{1}(S, T)$ |
| Second Projection | $\operatorname{prj}_{2}(S, T)$ |
| Parallel Product | $p \\| q$ |

## Binary Relation Operator Memberships (5)

| $E \mapsto(F \mapsto G) \in p \otimes q$ | $E \mapsto F \in p \wedge E \mapsto G \in q$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(E \mapsto F) \mapsto G \in \operatorname{prj}_{1}(S, T)$ | $E \in S \wedge F \in T \wedge G=E$ |
| $(E \mapsto F) \mapsto G \in \operatorname{prj}_{2}(S, T)$ | $E \in S \wedge F \in T \wedge G=F$ |
| $(E \mapsto G) \mapsto(F \mapsto H) \in p \\| q$ | $E \mapsto F \in p \wedge G \mapsto H \in q$ |

## Summary of Binary Relation Operators

| $S \leftrightarrow T$ | $S \triangleleft r$ | $r[w]$ | $\operatorname{prj}_{1}(S, T)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{dom}(r)$ | $r \triangleright T$ | $p ; q$ | $\operatorname{prj}_{2}(S, T)$ |
| $\operatorname{ran}(r)$ | $S \nleftarrow r$ | $p \not q q$ | $\operatorname{id}(S)$ |
| $r^{-1}$ | $r \triangleright T$ | $p \otimes q$ | $p \\| q$ |

## Classical Results with Relation Operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{-1-1}=r \\
& \operatorname{dom}\left(r^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{ran}(r) \\
& (S \triangleleft r)^{-1}=r^{-1} \triangleright S \\
& (p ; q)^{-1}=q^{-1} ; p^{-1} \\
& (p ; q) ; r=q ;(p ; r) \\
& (p ; q)[w]=q[p[w]] \\
& p ;(q \cup r)=(p ; q) \cup(p ; r) \\
& r[a \cup b]=r[a] \cup r[b]
\end{aligned}
$$

## More classical Results

Given a relation $r$ such that $r \in S \leftrightarrow S$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r=r^{-1} & r \text { is symmetric } \\
r \cap r^{-1}=\varnothing & r \text { is asymmetric } \\
r \cap r^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{id}(S) & r \text { is antisymmetric } \\
\operatorname{id}(S) \subseteq r & r \text { is reflexive } \\
r \cap \operatorname{id}(S)=\varnothing & r \text { is irreflexive } \\
r ; r \subseteq r & r \text { is transitive }
\end{array}
$$
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## Translations into First Order Predicates

Given a relation $r$ such that $r \in S \leftrightarrow S$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r=r^{-1} & \forall x, y \cdot x \in S \wedge y \in S \Rightarrow(x \mapsto y \in r \Leftrightarrow y \mapsto x \in r) \\
r \cap r^{-1}=\varnothing & \forall x, y \cdot x \mapsto y \in r \Rightarrow y \mapsto x \notin r \\
r \cap r^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{id}(S) & \forall x, y \cdot x \mapsto y \in r \wedge y \mapsto x \in r \Rightarrow x=y \\
\operatorname{id}(S) \subseteq r & \forall x \cdot x \in S \Rightarrow x \mapsto x \in r \\
r \cap \operatorname{id}(S)=\varnothing & \forall x, y \cdot x \mapsto y \in r \Rightarrow x \neq y \\
r ; r \subseteq r & \forall x, y, z \cdot x \mapsto y \in r \wedge y \mapsto z \in r \Rightarrow x \mapsto z \in r
\end{array}
$$

Set-theoretic statements are far more readable than predicate calculus statements swiss Federal Iratitate of Tehtriology Zuish

## Function Operators (1)

| Partial functions | $S \rightarrow T$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total functions | $S \rightarrow T$ |
| Partial injections | $S \nrightarrow T$ |
| Total injections | $S \multimap T$ |

## A Partial Function F from a Set A to a Set B



## A Total Function F from a Set A to a Set B



## A Partial Injection F from a Set A to a Set B



$$
F \in A \leftrightarrows B
$$

## A Total Injection F from a Set A to a Set B



$$
F \in A \mapsto B
$$
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## Function Operator Memberships (1)

| Left Part | Right Part |
| :---: | :---: |
| $f \in S \rightarrow T$ | $f \in S \leftrightarrow T \wedge\left(f^{-1} ; f\right)=\operatorname{id}(\operatorname{ran}(f))$ |
| $f \in S \rightarrow T$ | $f \in S \rightarrow T \wedge s=\operatorname{dom}(f)$ |
| $f \in S \rightarrow T$ | $f \in S \rightarrow T \wedge f^{-1} \in T \rightarrow S$ |
| $f \in S \rightarrow T$ | $f \in S \rightarrow T \wedge f^{-1} \in T \rightarrow S$ |

## Function Operators (2)

| Partial surjections | $S \rightarrow T$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total surjections | $S \rightarrow T$ |
| Bijections | $S \leftrightarrows T$ |

## A Partial Surjection F from a Set A to a Set B



Edgenässische Technilsche Hochscactule Zurlch Swiss Federal Insititate of Tehnology Zurich

## A Total Surjection F from a Set A to a Set B



$$
F \in A \rightarrow B
$$
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## A Bijection F from a Set A to a Set B



## Function Operator Memberships (2)

| Left Part | Right Part |
| :---: | :---: |
| $f \in S \rightarrow T$ | $f \in S \rightarrow T \wedge T=\operatorname{ran}(f)$ |
| $f \in S \rightarrow T$ | $f \in S \rightarrow T \wedge T=\operatorname{ran}(f)$ |
| $f \in S \rightarrow T$ | $f \in S \mapsto T \wedge f \in S \rightarrow T$ |
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## Summary of Function Operators

| $S \rightarrow T$ | $S \rightarrow T$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $S \rightarrow T$ | $S \rightarrow T$ |
| $S \rightarrow T$ | $S \hookrightarrow T$ |
| $S \hookrightarrow T$ |  |

## mmary of all Set-theoretic Operators (40)

| $S \times T$ | $S \backslash T$ | $r^{-1}$ | $r[w]$ | id (S) | $\{x \mid x \in S \wedge P\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{P}(S)$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \leftrightarrow T \\ & S \leftrightarrow T \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \triangleleft r \\ & S \& r \end{aligned}$ | $p ; q$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \rightarrow T \\ & S \rightarrow T \end{aligned}$ | $\{x \cdot x \in S \wedge P \mid E\}$ |
| $S \subseteq T$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \leftrightarrow T \\ & S \leftrightarrow T \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r \triangleright T \\ & r \triangleright T \end{aligned}$ | $p \& q$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \mapsto T \\ & S \mapsto T \end{aligned}$ | $\{a, b, \ldots, n\}$ |
| $S \cup T$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{dom}(r) \\ & \operatorname{ran}(r) \end{aligned}$ | prj ${ }_{1}$ | $p \otimes q$ | $\begin{aligned} & S \rightarrow T \\ & S \rightarrow T \end{aligned}$ | union $\cup$ |
| $S \cap T$ | $\varnothing$ | prj2 | $p \\| q$ | $S \hookrightarrow T$ | inter $\bigcap$ | Swiss Federal Iratitate of Technology Zurich

## Applying a Function

Given a partial function $f$, we have

| Left Part | Right Part |
| :---: | :---: |
| $F=f(E)$ | $E \mapsto F \in f$ |

Well-definedness condition: $\quad E \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$

## Example: a Very Strict Society

- Every person is either a man or a woman
- But no person can be a man and a woman at the same time
- Only women have husbands, who must be a man
- Woman have at most one husband
- Likewise, men have at most one wife
- Moreover, mother are married women
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## Formal Representation

```
men \(\subseteq\) PERSON
women \(=P E R S O N \backslash\) men
husband \(\in\) women \(\mapsto\) men
mother \(\in P E R S O N \rightarrow\) dom(husband)
```

- Every person is either a man or a woman.
- But no person can be a man and a woman at the same time.
- Only women have husbands, who must be a man.
- Woman have at most one husband.
- Likewise, men have at most one wife.
- Moreover, mother are married women.


## Formal Representation

```
men \subseteq PERSON
women = PERSON\men
husband \in women }\mapsto\mathrm{ men
mother }\inPPERSON -> dom(husband
```

- Every person is either a man or a woman.
- But no person can be a man and a woman at the same time.
- Only women have husbands, who must be a man.
- Woman have at most one husband.
- Likewise, men have at most one wife.
- Moreover, mother are married women.


## Formal Representation

```
men \subseteq PERSON
women = PERSON \men
husband \in women ↔men
mother }\in\mathrm{ PERSON }->\mathrm{ dom(husband)
```

- Every person is either a man or a woman.
- But no person can be a man and a woman at the same time.
- Only women have husbands, who must be a man.
- Woman have at most one husband.
- Likewise, men have at most one wife.
- Moreover, mother are married women.


## Defining New Concepts

```
men \subseteq PERSON
women = PERSON \men
husband \in women↔men
mother }\in\mathrm{ PERSON }->\mathrm{ dom(husband)
```

wife $=$ husband $^{-1}$
spouse $=$ husband $\cup$ wife
father $=$ mother ; husband

## Defining New Concepts

```
men \subseteq PERSON
women = PERSON \men
husband \in women↔men
mother }\in\mathrm{ PERSON }->\mathrm{ dom(husband)
```

wife $=$ husband $^{-1}$
spouse $=$ husband $\cup$ wife
father $=$ mother ; husband

## Defining New Concepts

```
men \subseteq PERSON
women = PERSON \men
husband \in women↔men
mother }\in\mathrm{ PERSON }->\mathrm{ dom(husband)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { wife }=\text { husband }^{-1} \\
& \text { spouse }=\text { husband } \cup \text { wife } \\
& \text { father }=\text { mother ; husband }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Defining New Concepts

```
men \subseteq PERSON
women = PERSON \men
husband \in women }\leftrightarrows\mathrm{ men
mother }\in\mathrm{ PERSON }->\mathrm{ dom(husband)
```

$$
\text { wife }=\text { husband }^{-1}
$$

$$
\text { spouse }=\text { husband } \cup \text { wife }
$$

$$
\text { father }=\text { mother } ; \text { husband }
$$

## Defining New Concepts

```
men \subseteq PERSON
women = PERSON \men
husband \in women }\rightsquigarrow\mathrm{ men
mother }\inPERSON -> dom(husband
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```
father \(=\) mother \(;\) husband
children \(=(\text { mother } \cup \text { father })^{-1}\)
daughter \(=\) children \(>\) women
sibling \(=\left(\right.\) children \(^{-1} ;\) children \() \backslash i d(\) PERSON \()\)
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## Defining New Concepts

```
men \subseteq PERSON
women = PERSON \men
husband \in women }\rightsquigarrow\mathrm{ men
mother }\inPERSON -> dom(husband
```

```
father \(=\) mother \(;\) husband
children \(=(\text { mother } \cup \text { father })^{-1}\)
daughter \(=\) children \(\triangleright\) women
sibling \(=\left(\right.\) children \(^{-1} ;\) children \() \backslash \operatorname{id}(\) PERSON \()\)
```


## Exercises. To be defined

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { brother }=? \\
& \text { sibling }- \text { in }- \text { law }=? \\
& \text { nephew }- \text { or }- \text { niece }=? \\
& \text { uncle }- \text { or }- \text { aunt }=? \\
& \text { cousin }=?
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exercises. To be proved

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mother }=\text { father } ; \text { wife }^{\text {spouse }=\text { spouse }^{-1}} \\
& \text { sibling }=\text { sibling }^{-1} \\
& \text { cousin }=\text { cousin }^{-1} \\
& \text { father ; father } \\
& \text {-1 }=\text { mother ; mother } \\
& \text { father ; mother } \\
& \text {-1 }=\varnothing \\
& \text { mother ; father } \\
& \text {-1 }=\varnothing \\
& \text { father ; children }=\text { mother ; children }
\end{aligned}
$$
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